arXiv:1412.0515v1 [math.CO] 25 Nov 2014

(k, k', k'')-domination in graphs

Abdollah Khodkar*
Department of Mathematics
University of West Georgia
Carrollton, GA 30118, USA
akhodkar@westga.edu

Babak Samadi
Department of Mathematics
Arak University, Arak IRI
b-samadi@araku.ac.ir

H.R. Golmohammadi
Department of Mathematics
University of Tafresh, Tafresh, IRI
h.golmohamadi@tafreshu.ac.ir

Abstract

We first introduce the concept of (k, k', k'')-domination numbers in graphs, which is a genaralization of many domination parameters. Then we find lower and upper bounds for this parameter, which improve many well-known results in literatures.

Keywords: (k, k', k'')-domination number, k-domination number, restrained domination numbers.
MSC 2000: 05C69

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let G be a finite connected graph with vertex set $V = V(G)$, edge set $E = E(G)$, minimum degree $\delta = \delta(G)$ and maximum degree $\Delta = \Delta(G)$. We use [16] as a reference for terminology and notation

*corresponding author

which are not defined here. For any vertex $v \in V$, $N(v) = \{u \in G \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ denotes the *open neighbourhood* of v in G, and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ denotes its *closed neighbourhood*.
There are many domination parameters in graph theory. The diversity of domination parameters and the types of proofs involved are very extensive. We believe that some of the results in this field are similar and the main ideas of their proofs are the same. Therefore we introduce and investigate the concept of (k, k', k'')-domination number, as a generalization of many domination parameters, by a simple uniform approach.
Let k, k' and k'' be nonnegative integers. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a (k, k', k'')-*dominating set* in G if every vertex in S has at least k neighbors in S and every vertex in $V \setminus S$ has at least k' neighbors in S and at least k'' neighbors in $V \setminus S$. The (k, k', k'')-*domination number* $\gamma_{(k,k',k'')}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a (k, k', k'')-dominating set. We note that every graph with the minimum degree at least k has a (k, k', k'')-dominating set, since $S = V(G)$ is such a set. Note that

- $\gamma_{(0,1,1)}(G) = \gamma_r(G)$: *Restrained domination number*;
- $\gamma_{(1,1,1)}(G) = \gamma_t^r(G)$: *Total restrained domination number*;
- $\gamma_{(1,2,1)}(G) = \gamma_{2r}(G)$: *Restrained double domination number*;
- $\gamma_{(k,k,k)}(G) = \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$: *k-Tuple total restrained domination number*;
- $\gamma_{(k,k,0)}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$: *k-Tuple total domination number*;
- $\gamma_{(k-1,k,0)}(G) = \gamma_{\times k}(G)$: *k-Tuple domination number*;
- $\gamma_{(0,k,0)}(G) = \gamma_k(G)$: *k-Domination number*.

For the definitions of the parameters above and a comprehensive work on domination in graphs see [1, 2, 3, 11, 12, 14, 15].

2 Lower bounds on (k, k', k'')-domination numbers

In this section, we calculate a lower bound on $\gamma_{(k,k',k'')}(G)$, which improves the existing lower bounds on these seven parameters.
The following result can be found in [2] and [9].

Theorem 2.1. *If G is a graph without isolated vertices of order n and size m, then*

$$\gamma_t^r(G) \geq 3n/2 - m, \tag{1}$$

in addition this bound is sharp.

Also Hattingh et.al [10] found that

$$\gamma_r(G) \geq n - 2m/3. \tag{2}$$

The following known result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.2. *[8] If T is a tree of order $n \geq 2$, then*

$$\gamma_t^r(T) \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{2} \rceil. \tag{3}$$

The inequality

$$\gamma_r(T) \geq \lceil \frac{n+2}{3} \rceil \tag{4}$$

on restrained domination number of a tree of order $n \geq 1$ was obtained by Domke et al. [3].
The author in [15] generalized Theorem 2.1 and proved that if $\delta(G) \geq k$, then

$$\gamma_{\times k,t}^r(G) \geq 3n/2 - m/k. \tag{5}$$

Moreover the authors in [14] proved that if G is a graph without isolated vertices, then

$$\gamma_{2r}(G) \geq \frac{5n - 2m}{4}. \tag{6}$$

We now improve the lower bounds given in $(1), (2), \ldots, (6)$. For this purpose we first introduce a notation. Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$ and let S be a (k, k', k'')-dominating set in G. We define

$$\delta^* = \min\{\deg(v) \mid v \in V(G) \text{ and } \deg(v) \geq k' + k''\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\deg(v)$ is at least $k' + k''$ and therefore is at least δ^* for all vertices in $V \setminus S$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then*

$$\gamma_{(k,k',k'')}(G) \geq \frac{(k' + \delta^*)n - 2m}{\delta^* + k' - k}.$$

Proof. Let S be a minimum (k, k', k'')-dominating set in G. Then, every vertex $v \in S$ is adjacent to at least k vertices in S. Therefore $|E(G[S])| \geq k|S|/2$. Let $E(v)$ be the set of edges at vertex v. Now let $v \in V \setminus S$. Since S is a (k, k', k'')-dominating set, it follows that v is incident to at least k' edges $e_1, \ldots, e_{k'}$ in $[S, V \setminus S]$ and at least k'' edges $e_{k'+1}, \ldots, e_{k'+k''}$ in $E(G[V \setminus S])$. Since $deg(v) \geq \delta^* \geq k' + k''$, v is incident to at least $\delta^* - k' - k''$ edges in

$E(v) \setminus \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{k'+k''}$. The value of $|[S, V \setminus S]| + |E(G[V \setminus S])|$ is minimized if the edges in $E(v) \setminus \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{k'+k''}$ belong to $E(G[V \setminus S])$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2m &= 2|E(G[S])| + 2|[S, V \setminus S]| + 2|E(G[V \setminus S])| \\ &\geq k|S| + 2k'(n - |S|) + k''(n - |S|) + (\delta^* - k' - k'')(n - |S|). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to $\gamma_{(k,k',k'')}(G) = |S| \geq \frac{(k'+\delta^*)n-2m}{\delta^*+k'-k}$. □

We note that when $(k, k', k'') = (1, 1, 1)$, then Theorem 2.3 gives improvements for inequalities (1) and (3). When $(k, k', k'') = (0, 1, 1)$, then it will be improvements of its corresponding results given by (2) and (4). Also, if $(k, k', k'') = (k, k, k)$, Theorem 2.3 improves (5) and if $(k, k', k'') = (1, 2, 1)$, it improves (6).

As an immediate result of Theorem 2.3, we conclude the following result of Hattingh and Joubert.

Corollary 2.4. *[7] If G is a cubic graph of order n, then $\gamma_r(G) \geq \frac{n}{4}$.*

Also, for total restrained and restrained double domination numbers of a cubic graph G, we obtain $\gamma_t^r(G) \geq \frac{n}{3}$ and $\gamma_{2r}(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, by Theorem 2.3, respectively.
Since $\gamma_{\times k}(G) = \gamma_{(k-1,k,0)}(G)$, Theorem 2.3 is improvements of the following results.

Theorem 2.5. *[6] Let G be a graph of order n and $\delta(G) \geq k - 1$, then*

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \geq \frac{2kn - 2m}{k + 1}$$

and this bound is sharp.

Theorem 2.6. *[17] Let G be a graph of order n and size m with minimum degree $\delta \geq k$. Then $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \geq 2(n - \frac{m}{k})$ and this bound is sharp.*

Theorem 2.3 is also an improvement of the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *[5] If G is a graph with n vertices and m edges, then $\gamma_k(G) \geq n - \frac{m}{k}$ for each $k \geq 1$.*

We note that every graph G with $\delta(G) \geq k$ has a $(k, k', 0)$-dominating set such as $S = V(G)$ and therefore $\gamma_{(k,k',0)}(G)$ is well-defined when $\delta(G) \geq k$.

Theorem 2.8. *If G is a graph of order n and $\delta(G) \geq k$, then $\gamma_{(k,k',0)}(G) \geq k'n/(\Delta + k' - k)$.*

Proof. Let S be a minimum $(k, k', 0)$-dominating set in G. Then each vertex of S is adjacent to at least k vertices in S and therefore to at most $\Delta - k$ vertices in $V \setminus S$, and so $|[S, V \setminus S]| \leq (\Delta - k)|S|$. On the other hand, every vertex of $V \setminus S$ has at least k' neighbors in S, and so $k'(n - |S|) \leq |[S, V \setminus S]|$. Consequently, $\gamma_{(k,k',0)}(G) = |S| \geq k'n/(\Delta + k' - k)$. □

The following corollaries are immediate results of Theorem 2.8.

Corollary 2.9. *([13, 17]) If G is a graph of minimum degree at least k, then $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \geq kn/\Delta$ and this bound is sharp.*

Corollary 2.10. *[6] If G is a graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq k-1$, then $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \geq kn/(\Delta+1)$ and this bound is sharp.*

Corollary 2.11. *[4] If G is a graph of order n, then $\gamma_k(G) \geq kn/(\Delta+k)$ for every integer k.*

3 Upper bounds on $(k, k', 1)$-domination numbers

In this section we present an upper bound on $(k, k', 1)$-domination numbers and list some of the existing upper bounds which can be derived from this upper bound.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a graph of order n and let k and k' be positive integers.*

1. *If $k' \geq k+1$ and $\delta \geq k'+1$, then $\gamma_{(k,k',1)}(G) \leq n - \delta + k' - 1$.*
2. *If $k \geq k'$ and $\delta \geq k+2$, then $\gamma_{(k,k',1)}(G) \leq n - \delta + k$.*

The bounds given in Parts 1 and 2 are sharp.

Proof. Let u be a vertex in G with $\deg(u) = \delta$.
Proof of 1: Suppose that $v_1, \ldots, v_{k'} \in N(u)$. Since $\delta \geq k'+1$, it follows that $|N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\}| \geq 2$ and therefore $N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\}$ is a nonempty set. Also, it is easy to see that the subgraph induced by $N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\}$ has no isolated vertices. Now let $S = V(G) \setminus (N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\})$. Let $v \in N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\}$. Then v can be joint to at most $\delta - k'$ vertices in $N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\}$. Thus v has at least k' neighbors in S. On the other hand, for every vertex v in S we have

$$|N(v) \cap S| = deg(v) - |N(v) \cap (N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\})| \geq deg(v) - \delta + k' - 1 \geq k.$$

Therefore S is a $(k, k', 1)$-dominating set in G. Hence,

$$\gamma_{(k,k',1)}(G) \leq |S| = |V(G) \setminus (N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k'}\})| = n - \delta + k' - 1.$$

Proof of 2: Suppose that $v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1} \in N(u)$. By assumption, $|N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}\}| \geq 2$ and the subgraph induced by $N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}\}$ has no isolated vertices. An argument similar to that described in Part 1 shows

that $S = V(G) \setminus (N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}\})$ is a $(k, k', 1)$-dominating set in G. Therefore

$$\gamma_{(k,k',1)}(G) \leq |S| = |V(G) \setminus (N[u] \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_{k+1}\})| = n - \delta + k.$$

It is easy to see that the upper bounds are sharp for the complete graph K_n, when $n \geq \max\{k, k'\} + 3$. □

Considering Parts 1 and 2 of Theorem 3.1 we can see that

$$\gamma_{(k,k',1)}(G) \leq n - \delta + max\{k, k' - 1\},$$

when $\delta(G) \geq max\{k, k'\} + 2$.

As an immediate consequence we conclude the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If G is a graph of order n and minimum degree $\delta \geq 3$, then $\gamma_{2r}(G) \leq n - \delta + 1$ and the bound is sharp.*

The authors in [14] showed that $\gamma_{2r}(G) \leq n - 2$ for every graph of order n and minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 3$. In fact, Corollary 3.2 gives an improvement for this bound. In addition, if $\delta \geq 4$, then the upper bound $n-2$ for $\gamma_{2r}(G)$ is not sharp.

References

[1] M. Chellali, O. Favaron, A. Hansberg and L. Volkmann, *k-Domination and k-Independence in Graphs: A Survey*, Graphs and Combinatorics **28** (2012), 1-55.

[2] J. Cyman and J. Raczek, *On the total restrained domination number of a graph*, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics **36** (2006), 91–100.

[3] G.S. Domke, J.H. Hattingh, M.H. Henning and L.R. Markus, *Restrained domination in trees*, Discrete Mathematics **211** (2000), 1–9.

[4] J.F. Fink and M.S. Jacobson, *n-domination in graphs*, Graph theory with applications to algorithms and computer science (Kalamazoo, Mich., 1984), Wiley-Intersci. Publ., Wiley, New York, 1985, pp. 283–300.

[5] J.F. Fink and M.S. Jacobson, *On n-domination, n-dependence and forbidden subgraphs*, Graph theory with applications to algorithms and computer science (Kalamazoo, Mich., 1984), Wiley-Intersci. Publ., Wiley, New York, 1985, pp. 301–311.

[6] F. Harary and T.W. Haynes, *Double domination in graphs*, Ars Combinatoria **55** (2000), 201–213.

[7] J.H. Hattingh and E.J. Joubert, *Restrained domination in cubic graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Optimization **22** (2011), 166–179.

[8] J.H. Hattingh, E. Jonck, E.J. Joubert and A.R. Plummer, *Total restrained domination in trees*, Discrete Mathematics **307** (2007), 1643–1650.

[9] J.H. Hattingh, E. Jonck, E.J. Joubert and A.R. Plummer, *Total restrained domination in unicyclic graphs*, Utilitas Mathematica **82** (2010), 81–96.

[10] J.H. Hattingh, E.J. Joubert, M. Loizeaux, A.R. Plummer and L. Van Der Merwe, *Restrained domination in unicyclic graphs*, Discussiones Mathematicae **29** (2009), 71–86.

[11] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.

[12] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.

[13] M.A. Henning and A.P. Kazemi, *k-tuple total domination in cross product of graphs*, Journal of Combinatorial Optimization (2010), **24** 339–346.

[14] R. Kala and T.R. Nirmala Vasantha, *Restrained double domination number of a graph*, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics **5** (2008), 73–82.

[15] A.P. Kazemi, *k-tuple total restrained domination and k-tuple total restrained domatic in graphs*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society **40** (2014), 751–763.

[16] D.B. West, Introduction to graph theory (Second Edition), Prentice Hall, USA, 2001.

[17] W. Zhao, H. Wang and G. Xu, *Total k-domination number in graphs*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **35** (2007), 235–242.